

FILED '10 JUL 20 11:09 USDC-ORE

DENNIS LOCK PRO SE
450 HIGHWAY 99 N
EUGENE OR 97402
541-514-1741

The Honorable Ann Aiken presiding

DISTRICT
UNITES STATES ~~9TH~~ CIRCUIT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

DENNIS LOCK PRO SE,)	Case No.6-10CV-6079-AA
)	EX PARTE
Plaintiff,)	PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROTECTION
)	CONSPIRACY
vs.)	<i>EXPEDITED HEARING</i>
)	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA ET AL,)	
)	
Defendant)	

Plaintiff prays to the court because of the blatant disregard California and six unknown agents have shown for every aspect of the legal process that a democracy is dependant, such processes are the core of what the constitution was designed to protect as stated in complaint plaintiff can only anticipate a growing effort to conceal their nefarious activities through activities that only a miscreant mind familiar with the law could produce but possibly include planting evidence or falsifying drug tests and claiming these are self fulfilling prophesies.

THEREFORE:

1. Plaintiff prays to the court that the court prohibit the arrest of plaintiff by Federal or State law enforcement without a hearing including plaintiff in this court and restrain any activity that would be deemed unusual activities meant to inflict extreme emotional distress.
2. To prohibit any interference with plaintiffs constitutionally protected political and religious rights including 'jumping the line' interference of

1 internet web expression and interference with email traffic and/or
2 interference with web hosters or PayPal online payment facility

3 3. The court restrains any manipulation of psychiatrists or medical doctor's
4 reports plaintiff is involved with in Portland to disprove the contrived
5 determination from California and interference with Church activities
6 plaintiff engages.

7 The courts compelling interest is to secure justice in the light of obvious
8 documented violations contained in pleading (Falsified DOJ documents,
9 perjured statements by Santa Cruz Detective and Deputy District Attorney,
10 abuse of process, malicious prosecution) and to preserve the mental and
11 physical health of the plaintiff if incarcerated. The last two times
12 plaintiff was incarcerated were on grounds forced and manipulated by law
13 enforcement and while incarcerated plaintiff could not get medical attention
14 or proper representation so unconstitutional laws and proceedings would not
15 be discovered and extort a plea. These points are being put before this court
16 in pleadings now in process of filing.

17 Although the court may be inclined not to intervene in criminal actions
18 plaintiff states that the U. S. Constitution is civil law and is superior to
19 criminal law because the criminal rights and rules proceed out of the civil
20 and are dependent on the civil constitution therefore the civil takes
21 precedent.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 Dated this 13th day of July, 2010

30 By: 

31
32 DENNIS LOCK PRO SE
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

1 Writ to restrain unconstitutional activities of law enforcement addressed on
previous page:

3
5 Is granted _____

7
9 Is not granted _____

11
13 By: _____
Signed by the Honorable
15 Judge of the District Court